

## SMOOTH MANIFOLDS FALL 2022 - MIDTERM

### SOLUTIONS

**Problem 1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable group acting by homeomorphisms of a topological surface  $X$ . Assume that  $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a chart of  $X$  such that

- $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma(U) = X$ , and
- for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $\varphi \circ \gamma \circ \varphi^{-1} : \varphi(\gamma^{-1}(U) \cap U) \rightarrow \varphi(U)$  is  $C^\infty$ .

Show that there exists a smooth structure on  $X$  for which the action of  $\Gamma$  is  $C^\infty$ .

*Solution.* We build a  $C^\infty$  atlas of charts. It follows that a  $C^\infty$  atlas of charts determines a unique smooth structure on  $X$ . For each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , let  $\varphi_\gamma = \varphi \circ \gamma^{-1} : \gamma(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since each  $\gamma$  is a homeomorphism and  $\varphi$  is a chart, the maps  $\varphi_\gamma$  are homeomorphisms onto their image. Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{\varphi_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ . We claim that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a smooth atlas. Indeed, since  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma(U) = X$ , the domains of the charts in  $\mathcal{A}$  cover  $X$ . Furthermore, given  $\varphi_{\gamma_1}$  and  $\varphi_{\gamma_2}$ ,

$$\varphi_{\gamma_1} \circ \varphi_{\gamma_2}^{-1} = \varphi \circ (\gamma_1 \gamma_2^{-1}) \circ \varphi^{-1},$$

which is  $C^\infty$  by assumption wherever defined. Hence,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a smooth atlas. □

**Problem 2.** Let  $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  be a  $C^\infty$  loop. Show that for almost every  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , the image of  $\gamma$  intersects the line  $y = mx$  in at most finitely many points.

*Solution 1.* Let  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^1$  denote the projection of a point  $x$  onto real projective space. We can view  $\pi$  as the composition of the projection of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  onto  $S^1$  by  $x \mapsto x/||x||$ , and the cover of  $\mathbb{RP}^1$  by  $S^1$  defined by identifying antipodal points. Crucially, the preimage of a point in  $\mathbb{RP}^1$  is exactly a line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  passing through the origin, and any such line is obtained this way.

Notice that  $f = \pi \circ \gamma$  is a map from a compact 1-manifold  $S^1$  to a 1-manifold  $\mathbb{RP}^1$ . By Sard's theorem, the set of regular values has full measure. By the regular value theorem, the preimage of a point in  $\mathbb{RP}^1$  is a 0-manifold. It must be compact because it is a subset of  $S^1$ , and is hence a finite set. Since the image of a finite set is finite, it follows that for any regular value of  $\pi$ , the intersection of the corresponding line and image of  $\gamma$  is finite. Finally, observe that on the set of non-vertical lines passing through, the map which associates its slope is a  $C^\infty$  chart, and hence takes sets of measure 0 to sets of measure 0. The result follows. □

*Solution 2.* Consider the family of maps  $\gamma_m(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -m & 1 \end{pmatrix} \gamma(t) = (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t) - m\gamma_1(t))$ . Notice that the line  $y = mx$  is the image of the line  $y = 0$  under the map  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ m & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Hence  $\gamma(t)$  intersects the line  $y = mx$  if and only if  $\gamma_m$  intersects the line  $y = 0$ . We will show that the map  $F : \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  defined by  $F(m, t) = \gamma_m(t)$  is transverse to the line  $y = 0$ . By Sard's transversality theorem, it will follow that for almost every  $m$ ,  $\gamma_m$  is transverse to the line. By the regular value theorem, the preimage will be a compact 0-manifold, ie, a finite set. Since the image of a finite set is finite, the result will follow.

Now if  $F(m, t)$  belongs to the line  $y = 0$ , it follows that  $\gamma_1(t) \neq 0$  since  $\gamma(t) \neq 0$ . We compute

$$DF(m, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma_1'(t) \\ -\gamma_1(t) & \gamma_2'(t) - m\gamma_1'(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $\gamma_1(t) \neq 0$ , the image of  $DF$  contains a multiple of  $e_2$  (it is exactly the image of  $\partial/\partial m$ ). Since the tangent bundle to the line  $y = 0$  is spanned by  $e_1$ , it follows that the image of  $DF$  and the tangent bundle together span  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Hence,  $F$  is transverse to  $y = 0$ .  $\square$

*Solution 3 (embedded  $S^1$  only).* Define the map  $F(m, x) = (x, mx)$ , and  $F_m(x) = F(m, x)$ . Then the image of  $F_m$  is the line  $y = mx$ . Furthermore,  $dF = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 1 & m \end{pmatrix}$ , so  $dF$  is an isomorphism except at 0. Since  $0 \notin \text{im}(\gamma)$ , it follows that  $F$  is transverse to  $\gamma$ . By Sard's theorem for transversality,  $F_m$  is transverse to  $\gamma$  for almost every  $m$ . For each such  $m$ , the preimage of the image of  $\gamma$  under  $F_m$  is a finite collection of points, since it is a compact 0-manifold. Since the intersection of the image of  $\gamma$  and the line  $y = mx$  is the image of this finite set, the image is finite for every such  $m$ .  $\square$

**Problem 3.** Consider a  $C^\infty$ ,  $k$ -dimensional foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on a  $C^\infty$  manifold  $M$ . Assume that there exists a flow  $\psi_t$  on  $M$  such that for every leaf  $L$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\psi_t(L)$  is also a leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Furthermore, assume that if  $X$  is the generating vector field of  $\psi_t$ , then  $X$  is never tangent to the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Prove that there exists a  $(k + 1)$ -dimensional foliation  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$  whose leaves contain the orbits of  $\psi_t$  and the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

*Solution.* We define a distribution  $E$  on  $M$  by

$$E(p) = T\mathcal{F}(p) \oplus \mathbb{R}X(p)$$

so that  $\dim(E(p)) = k + 1$  at every point (since  $X(p) \notin T\mathcal{F}(p)$  for any  $p$ ). We claim that  $E$  is involutive. Since  $T\mathcal{F}$  is the tangent bundle of a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ , it follows that  $T\mathcal{F}$  is involutive. It therefore suffices to show that if  $Y$  is a vector field subordinate to  $T\mathcal{F}$ , then  $[X, Y]$  is subordinate to  $E$ . We will show a stronger property that  $[X, Y]$  is subordinate to  $T\mathcal{F}$ . To compute this bracket, we first observe that for any  $p \in M$ ,  $\varphi_t^Y(p)$  is contained in the leaf of  $p$ . Therefore,  $\varphi_s^Y(\varphi_{-t}^X(p))$  is contained in the  $\mathcal{F}$ -leaf of  $\varphi_{-t}^X(p)$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . By the assumption given in the problem, for every  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varphi_t^X \varphi_s^Y \varphi_{-t}^X(p)$  is contained in the  $\mathcal{F}$ -leaf of  $p$ . Hence, the derivative in  $s$  and  $t$  belongs to  $T\mathcal{F}(p)$ , as claimed.

Finally, by the Frobenius theorem, since  $E$  is involutive, it is integrable to a foliation. Since the orbits of  $\psi_t$  and leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  are both tangent to  $E$ , it follows that the new foliation contains both of them.  $\square$